

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,969	07/28/2006	Peter Kern	2360-0434PUS1	9996	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 07/02/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			TAWFIK	TAWFIK, SAMEH	
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/02/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,969	KERN, PETER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sameh H. Tawfik	3721	

	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is generalled above, the macrimum statutory period verified provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply and the provided period for reply with the sation of the provided period for reply and the provided period peri	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ap	pril 2008.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
` <u> </u>	· _						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
5/ <u></u> and 545 j act to 100 and 101	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Proffsperson's Patent Proving Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

- 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20051019
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/553,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-13) in the reply filed on 04/28/2008 is acknowledged.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Specification, page 2, line 27 is referring to "claim 1", which is in-correct, specification should not refer to any claim numbers.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 13 and 14; "the main surface of the holding device (11) are predetermined in a fixed manner and are different from each other." is indefinite and vague as it is not clear what applicant is referring to by "predetermined in a fixed manner and are different from each other."?; etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/553,969

Art Unit: 3721

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kern (U.S. Patent No. 5,251,425).

Kern discloses a device for inserting sheets into an envelope, comprising a) a holding device (Figs. 5-7; via package trap 13) for the envelope; b) transport members (via 33 and 34) for feeding the sheets to be inserted to the holding device (13); c) a feed device (Figs. 5-7; via 15, 16, 19, and 20) for feeding the envelope (3) to the holding device (13), along a feed. direction; and d) a removal device (via 20, 21, 43, and 44) for removing the filled envelope from the holding device (11), along a removal direction; characterized in that e) the feed device (15, 16, 19, and 20) and the removal device (20, 21, 43, and 44) are arranged relative to the holding device (13) in such a manner that a first angle between the feed direction and a main surface of the holding device (13) and a second angle between the removal direction and the main surface of the holding device (13) are "predetermined in a fixed manner and are different from each other", see for example (Figs. 1 and 5-7).

Regarding claim 2: characterized in that the removal device is arranged relative to the holding device (13) in such a manner that the main surface of the holding device (13) is permanently parallel to the removal direction (via 13 is parallel to removing direction of the package when moved between 20, 21, 43, and 44, see for example Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 3: characterized in that the feed device comprises a guide element (via 15 and 16) with a discharge point, the guide element being convex at its discharge point.

Regarding claim 5: characterized in that the holding element (13) is formed by a pocket

Application/Control Number: 10/553,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

onto which the envelope can be pulled (Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 6: characterized in that the removal device comprises a first conveying device with a first, lower pressing roll (via roller rotating belt 43) and a second, upper pressing roll (via 21, 20, and 59), the second pressing roll (20) being pressed resiliently against the first pressing roll (via against the roller rotating belt 43).

Regarding claim 8: characterized by a safeguard for the envelope, for preventing a premature removal of the envelope from the holding device, see for example (Figs. 1 and 8; via 13).

Regarding claim 9: characterized in that the removal device comprises a take-off roll (via rollers driving and rotating the take off belts 43 and 44) with a segment for grasping the filled envelope which is to be removed.

Regarding claim 10: characterized in that all of the transport elements for the envelopes are driven by a single motor (Fig. 1; the entire enveloping unit is driven by motor 47).

Regarding claim 11: characterized in that the feed device has a segment roll (Fig. 1; via 8 and 9) for pulling the envelope off from a stack, with a rolling segment (10) for fully pressing open a flap of the envelope, and a transport segment (11) for transporting the envelope.

Regarding claim 13: characterized in that the rolling segment (10) and the transport segment (11) are formed by claws which are arranged on a common rotational axle, see for example (Fig. 1; via both rollers 10 and 11 rotating on same axis).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/553,969

Art Unit: 3721

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in such that the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kern (U.S. Patent No. 5,251,425).

Regarding claim 4: Kern discloses that the guide element (15 and 16) is formed by a curved guide plate.

Kern does not disclose that a use of vacuum device on the guide plate. However, the examiner takes an official notice that such use of vacuum on guiding plate in the envelope filling device is old, well known, and available in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kern's device by the use of vacuum guiding plate, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to gain more control of the envelope while guiding it through the feeding station and avoid any machine jam.

Regarding claim 7: Kern discloses that the feed device comprises a second conveying device with an upper pressing roll (19) and a lower pressing roll (20).

Kern does not disclose that the feed device is arranged below the removal device nor the first pressing roll of the first conveying device is the same as the upper pressing roll of the second conveying device.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kern's device by having that the feed device is arranged below the removal device and the first pressing roll of the first conveying device is the same as the upper pressing roll of the second conveying device, since it has been held that

rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. Note that Kern discloses the upper pressing roller of the first conveying device is the same as of the lower pressing roller of the second conveying device.

Regarding claim 12: Kern does not disclose that the segment roll is designed in such a manner that a first coefficient of friction of a surface of the rolling segment is smaller than a second coefficient of friction of a surface of the transport segment.

However, as Kern discloses the segment roll and transport segment, therefore having the segment roll with smaller coefficient friction than the surface of the transport segment is just a matter of engineering design choice.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,969 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721